



## TRUMP DERANGEMENT SYNDROME

© 2020 Joel Goodman

There is a pattern of behavior in reaction to President Donald J. Trump that is irrational. It has aptly been called Trump Derangement Syndrome. To some degree this pattern of behavior is a direct response to Trump defeating the Democrat candidate, something for which many people were unprepared, and have since refused to accept. But, this is not the first “surprise” election in the history of the United States. When Harry Truman woke up as President elect on November 3, 1948 in one of the greatest election upsets in American history, there were no protests of any matter at his inauguration. Even when it was the Supreme Court that ultimately decided the Gore – Bush race, the protests were minimal.

There is more than one cause for this truly novel pattern of behavior. Some of the behavior is very planned and very much part of a political agenda that has little to do with Trump in particular; but there is a good deal of it that is strictly emotional and mostly irrational. The irrational response stems from an emotional investment in certain beliefs that people held before the election, which are now being challenged by Donald Trump.

While there has always been unpopular presidents, there has rarely been a reaction to a President like the reaction to Trump. Public displays of dislike began with President Washington, and even though he was very much revered for what he did for the country during the Revolution, as President he was very strongly attacked by his political opponents and was crucified in their newspapers in an unholy display of the worst kind of yellow journalism. An even stronger public animus was aimed at President Lincoln; so great that it ultimately tore the nation apart. A hostile public display reappeared with FDR. Stories of hatred for FDR have filtered down through several generations. In each of these instances there were specific widely held policy disagreements that instigated the extreme dislike aimed at the chief executive. Still, the intense vitriol never approached what is happening today. The accusations made against Trump are often personal, hyperbolic and made on an almost daily basis. This time, while policy explains the political rationale reaction to Trump, policy has little or nothing to do with what would explain the irrational behavior demonstrated by large segments of the public.

For the many traditional Democrat Liberals who never voted Republican, the public exposés, overwhelming number of negative news stories, Congressional accusations and daily media lynchings have allowed them to accept an alternative view of anything that Trump does; to interpret his actions in terms presented by the media. Without fully examining what they are being fed, ultimately old line Liberals point to Trump's irascible personality and tend to fall in with those who have a tremendous dislike for the man. In their minds his behavior gives justification to the media lies, which they can't permit themselves to perceive as lies.

Donald Trump's ebullient personality both allows him to be a marvelous champion of his own cause and his own worst enemy. Still, Trump's ability and willingness to verbally counter rudeness with rudeness is not sufficient to explain the dynamic(s) of what is occurring. Many of the people who have embraced this alternative view of Donald Trump, in effect have visualized in their mind's eye an evil twin instead of the real Donald Trump, in spite of the fact that they are bright and caring people.

The rationally based attacks on Trump stem from his position on international trade and the position that he early on enunciated at the UN, that each nation should prioritize its own needs and do for their nation what is best for that nation. This approach runs counter to the policies of the Globalists who want individual nations to subjugate their economic activities to the needs of the international “community;” actually to the benefit of the largest companies in the world. This approach, albeit on a global scale in 2020, is nothing different from mid 20<sup>th</sup> century state Fascism, where private companies subjugated their operations and production to the needs and benefit of the state. In today’s dynamic, the state has been supplanted by a still veiled group called the “Global Community.”

The riots we see in the United States today, in many ways, mirror the riots fomented and orchestrated by the CIA and State Department in Ukraine in 2014, which ultimately led to the ouster of President Viktor Yanukovich. Yanukovich’s crime was his opposition to signing the deal presented to him by the European Union. Yanukovich’s world view was not so very different from that of Prime Minister Boris Johnson who successfully brought the UK out of the EU. Donald Trump has taken a position similar to Yanukovich and Johnson and other Europeans who are dissatisfied with the Globalist policies of the EU.

Much of the anti-Trump animus is funded by Globalist leaders and organizations. This “group” has ties to organizations such as MoveOn.org and Black Lives Matter, among the groups spearheading the protests and rioting. Some of the funding for these groups can be traced back to the Ford Foundation, Kellogg Foundation, Heinz Foundation, etc. and George Soros’s Open Society Foundation, which has supported other revolutions over the past twenty years. Groups he funded were involved with the 2014 Ukraine Revolution and with the UK Re-Brexit Movement.

As said, some of the animus aimed at Trump is aimed at his policies, and were he just another politician, and left the Globalists free to continue to sideline America while they consolidated their hold on the world economy, he would have been allowed a “normal” presidency. Resistance to his election began in 2016, while he was still considered a long shot to win the nomination, let alone before winning the general election. In 2016 an “Impeach Trump” web-

site was placed on the web. Obviously, there was a target on Trump's back long before he did anything in office. Trump formulated his policies behind his, "Make America Great Again" slogan; something that was and still is anathema to the Globalists' plans. Contrast Trump's world outlook with Obama's that proclaimed that America's best days were over. Obama was idolized by the press. He even won a Nobel Peace Prize for doing absolutely nothing. It served merely as a stamp of approval from those in power.

After Trumps' election, organizations were funded and quickly mobilized for a protest at Trump's inauguration; though not the first inauguration protest in history, certainly the largest. Many of these Trump protests were really Democrat base protests, and one large part of it stems from the growing divide between Pro-life and Pro-Choice people. Pro-Choice women have become very vocal and are now a force to be reckoned with, and, more poignantly, a force to be used by the anti-Globalist 'Stop Trump' movement. This aspect of the anti-Republican / Anti-Trump movement is the major problem the Republicans will have in gaining a solid majority in post Trump U.S. A. if the Democrats move back to being to a more traditional Liberal Party.

Any Republican who beat Hillary Clinton might have received some of he same treatment; but without the serious organizing help and funding from the Globalists, the Pro-Choice movement would not be as large as it is. The early protests were only very limitedly "grass roots" mobilizations.

The women's aspect of the Trump Derangement Syndrome (TDS), although policy based, still has an irrational aspect to it. The media promised the feminists their first female president. They were finally going to have one of their own, a vagina person in the White House. Not only did they not get what they were promised, the winner was a male chauvinist, playboy billionaire who talks straight, shoots from the hip and means what he says. The shock and disappointment was, to very many activist women, unacceptable. They were, after all, guaranteed that the Democrats would win. From their point of view, the Blacks had their shot and now it was the women's turn. Instead their gal lost out to someone supported by a bunch of hick farmers and Walmart shopping housewives; unevolved and unsophisticated dullards,

duped by a reality TV star. To them, this defeat was the Alamo and Pearl Harbor rolled into one. If the Japanese could be called slant-eyed monsters after Pearl Harbor, these women certainly could call Trump “Hitler”, or any epithet that came to mind. Each one of them individually was running alongside Hillary for the White House. And, not only did they lose, but, the winner was Pro-Life. In their minds Trump stands for everything they hate – albeit from a very limited perspective of what is important for the contemporary world. This is probably the only aspect of TDS that is truly based on an overly emotional reaction hinged on a single issue.

Concomitant with continual protests, there were continual accusations of “wrong doing” of every type. These quickly surfaced, and were salaciously covered in the corporate media; and then for a lack of substantiating evidence, quickly disappeared with no apology by the reporting organizations. Still, the mere appearance of these stories in “accepted” traditional news sources gave them a credibility accepted by many who really don’t investigate the news thoroughly. For someone to challenge the veracity of a news story one has to challenge their own belief in the news organization that disseminated it – the same organizations that have been trusted for years. So, when Trump calls the news people liars, it is much more logical for many people to believe that it is Trump who is lying and not the long trusted news source. For the Liberal consumer of corporate news, minor inaccuracies that Trump (like every other President) has stated in discussing this or that current event, are equated with a propensity to a deep seated pattern of lying.

Trump is a promoter and a showman. He is a believer in presenting a positive image. These are useful attributes in getting things done and maintaining a positive aura around what he does. No one would have dared fault FDR when he announced Jimmy Doolittle’s mostly symbolic raid against Tokyo in April 1942, as a grand accomplishment; at a time when America and the Allies were reeling in defeat everywhere, having been humiliated at Pearl Harbor, Wake Island, Guam, Manila, Bataan, Corregidor, Hong Kong, Singapore among dozens of other places; and having lost dozens of capital ships to the Imperial Japanese Navy. It is doubtful any news reporter in 1942 would have screamed out during the Doolittle Raid press conference, “No big deal, It was only a political stunt to help the Democrats this year - why

don't you tell us how bad everything is?" Like other aspects of the irrational response to Trump, challenging the corporate news source would be challenging something in which someone has had an emotional investment all of their lives.

The Democrat House investigations and the phony impeachment trial were political tools that have added even more "credibility" to Trump's supposed ill-behavior and criminality for those people who are predisposed to disliking Republicans and him in particular. In the midst of New York City dying and New York State suffering a terrible economic crisis, the Democrat activist, staunchly anti-gun Attorney General, who attempted to pressure banks to stop doing business with gun manufacturers and then sued the NRA, has now, filed a financial crimes complaint against Eric Trump and the Trump Organization. The result of all of these actions are only intended to add a stamp of 'officialese' to bogus charges, which, for many, sadly, is a good enough indication of the validity of the charges.

The MacGuffin that keeps all of the skewed accusations about Trump from being rejected or at least being re-examined in a way that exposes their political motivation, is not something that Trump's behavior can explain. Rather, it is the Trump hater's *emotional investment* in a set of long held beliefs, the elimination of which, challenges their entire psyche. These beliefs are so closely tied to one's perception of who they are, that they define themselves in a very dogmatically religious manner by these beliefs. Trump's policies, political positions and even his non-traditional straightforward personality and presentation are challenging to many. Unlike most politicians, he doesn't offer much to grab onto for someone looking to be reassured, other than a belief in their own potential.

For some people it is much easier to accept the continual lies put forth by Senate Minority Leader Charles Schumer than to accept even the smallest bit of truth from Donald Trump. Schumer is a long accepted type in America politics – the lying politician. However disturbing that may be, his type is something familiar. Lying politicians are there to get you to support them. Trump does not use a recognized political approach and his abrasive straight forward approach is taken at face value by people who oppose his political stance. He is there to tell you to make up your own mind about supporting America. Those who dislike him, blame the

messenger for the truth within the message. As has been said, “*prejudice survives all evidence.*”

A most dramatic example of how this emotional investment affects one’s perception of events can clearly be seen in the continuing support Blacks and Jews (and to some degree Italians) give to the Democrats. Because the Republicans refuse to single out Blacks for aid, they are labeled as racist. This is most obvious vis a vis Affirmative Action programs, where Republicans feel that Blacks are more than capable of achieving any goal if they pursue it. The Democrats tell the Blacks that they have been denied a chance at equality and are not capable of competing on a level playing field. The Democrats therefore promote a sense of entitlement to Blacks, which would be difficult for many Blacks to give up. On the one hand many Blacks feel they are repressed, and on the other hand they feel they are entitled to special privileges because they are repressed. I wrote about this in a [separate article](#); how even successful Blacks feel that they need to get a leg up on “Whitey.” Re-evaluation of who they are in American society may be too frightening for many Blacks, feeling that they will lose more than they will gain by actually being labeled equal. It is much safer emotionally to remain with the known Party, the Democrats – where they have been told they have special needs.

The bottom line is that all of the major cities in the U.S. run by Democrats have the highest Black on Black crime rates in the country and the most poverty. While this has been going on for 60 years, the Blacks belief in the Democrats is so strong, and they have so much invested in the Democrat party, vis a vis electoral representation, patronage, etc., they are afraid to look at the Democrats objectively; even when the Democrats support illegal immigration, which hurts low income Blacks more than any other group. To the credit of most Blacks, it is only the Radical Leftist Black race-baiters that have been behind the protests and riots, and most of the actual “protesters” are young Whites, largely Females. But, they can get away with their destructive acts because they have the support of the Democrat Party in addition to funding from the Globalists - for groups like BLM.

The case of the staunch Trump hating activist Jews is the most telling example of a political *emotional investment*. There has been a Utopian undercurrent in Jewish culture since Jews

were expelled from Spain. The Jews who moved around Europe remained outsiders, and were frequently described as cultural pyriahs. Because of the history of the Jews perennially being put upon in Europe, a “get along” philosophy, wherein everyone would work together for the benefit of the “whole,” was a very attractive idea to many Jews.

Many Jews gravitated to the idea that in a Utopian society where everyone, including Jews, worked for the common good they would be seen as a more accepted part of the country in which they lived. After all, if you feel yourself to be estranged in one form or another from your society, you might be attracted to the idea of associating yourself intellectually and emotionally with a “movement” that promises a community of people working for the common good, which would of course include yourself. At least that was the theory, albeit someone forgot to mention it to the NAZIs. The Bund was a large European Jewish movement espousing this concept.

The Utopian zeitgeist internalized by European Jews was popularized with the published works of Karl Marx, a secular Jew. Socialism, at least the Utopian aspect of Socialism, took hold with the European Jews. While Karl Marx was Jewish, he was not a religious Jew. The Utopian core of Marx's theory is quite evident in its demand that everyone “share” for a better future. Whether it was Utopianism, Marxism or plain old Anarchy, there was a belief that systemic anti-Semitism would not exist in a communal society.

When European Jews migrated to the U.S. just before World War One, among them were Anarchists, Marxists and Socialists; many of whom became Communists in the 1930's. Whether or not the Jewish American Socialists actively supported the USSR, it is clear that they supported extreme Socialist ideas, kept alive in such groups as the Workmen's Circle that published the Yiddish language newspaper *The Forward*. As the Jews, and many other Southern and Eastern Europeans, like Italians, assumed influential positions in the arts, especially in the film industry and in academia, they imbued their Utopian/Socialist bent into their projects and teachings. Early on in Europe, the more radical progressive Jews aligned themselves with the International aspect of Socialism and opposed Zionism and the creation of the state of Israel. Later, when the Western Powers turned against the Socialist government in



Spain in 1936, and in essence abetted Hitler's and Mussolini's support of Franco, American Socialists went to fight for the Republican government in the Lincoln Brigades as part of the International. Whether they went to fight in Spain or not, hardcore Jewish American Socialists found themselves at odds with the American government. Of course, for a group seeking cultural inclusion this was not a great idea.

This changed with Roosevelt. And, while Roosevelt may not have supported the Spanish Republican government, he introduced Socialist policies into the United States in the form of Social Security and other government sponsored work and arts programs. The Workman's Circle threw its support to Roosevelt. The Socialist Jews found a permanent home in the Democrat Party. It has been that way since the 1930's. Whether or not the Democrat Party was free of anti-Semitism, (after all Roosevelt inhumanely turned away the M.S. St. Louis) the Socialist Jews had a clubhouse in the Democrat Party, and the Jewish labor union members supported the pro-union Democrats. There was a perceived shared "concern" for the downtrodden, even if in reality it was mostly Democrat political rhetoric, considering how anti-Black the Democrat Party was up until the 1960's.

The Democrat Party has been the home for the intellectual, artistic and working class Jews for more than 80 years. Sadly, over the past twenty years the party has become more radical, moving away from Liberalism – now leaning more towards Marxism than Socialism. With the support Blacks give Democrats, and with immigration caused changes in demographics, young well funded Black Marxist females are being elected to Congress. Along with their Marxist bent, these Congress people are also virulently anti-Semitic and anti-Israel / pro-Palestinian, and they now represent the new face of the Democrat Party.

Still, the average Liberal Jew finds it difficult to believe that Nancy Pelosi (Italian), Chuck Schumer (Jewish) and Adam Schiif (Jewish) are lying to them about Donald Trump.

The Left has labeled President Trump a divider, and while he is not divisive in his speech – he has, fact, divided the nation; but not in the sense that the Left would like us to believe, and not in the way that the Left has used this falsehood as its political message.

The Left has claimed that Trump has divided the country along racial, and to a certain degree along religious lines; his supposed anti-Muslim stance, which mostly stems from his position on Israel and Iran and his travel ban from a select few Muslim majority nations. The fact is that Trump has never played the race game. His message and his policies generally ignore favoring or disfavoring race, and, ironically, that has allowed the Left to fill what might be called a vacuum of race rhetoric.

It is the Left that has a Congressional Black Caucus. It is the Left that has reinstated de-facto segregation on college campuses with "Black only" groups. It is Liberal colleges instituting heightened Affirmative Action programs to the detriment of Whites, including Jews and Asians. It is the Left that panders to Blacks for votes by claiming that they support whatever is needed to stop non-existent systemic racism on all levels of America. As of this writing, one Democrat city is restricting Covid19 financial aid to Blacks.

It can be said Donald Trump panders to Americans – all Americans. That is the what makes political hay – that Trump, other than supporting the Historically Black Colleges, does not single out help for Blacks. He includes Blacks as one of many groups to be helped by the federal government.

When Donald Trump says he has done more for Blacks than any previous President since Lincoln, it is said in terms of having created more jobs and opportunities in employment for all races in the United States, including Blacks. It is Donald Trump that supported Criminal Justice reform in order to change the felony charges for certain drug offenses, which while not aimed solely at Blacks, it removed sentencing guidelines that disproportionately impacted Blacks. It is Donald Trump's DOJ that has targeted gang crime in many American cities, which again is not a black oriented program, but will help lessen the inordinate number of Black on Black killings that are rampant in these Democrat run majority Black cities.

Since the late 1960's, the Left has staked its future on identity politics. With the election of Barack Obama the focus on race sadly increased and there was an observable shift away

from Martin Luther King's Zen looking to the future as a cure for residual racism in the US, and a return to the race baiting looking backwards victimization games of people like Stokely Carmichael, one of a type about whom Frederick Douglass warned us. Ironically, the largest number of hate crimes committed in the US is against Jews, yet the Democrats are silent on this issue; firstly not wanting to publicize the issue and secondly not wanting to have to explain why they allow openly anti-Semitic members of Congress to continue to spew forth anti-Semitic remarks.

But the election of Obama brought something else – a restatement of how America has been portrayed almost since its inception. The fundamental change promised by Obama was a change away from America being thought of as a magnificent experiment in self government with boundless possibilities.

Reality of course tells us that the history of the United States has been filled with many flawed decisions and injurious policies and programs. It could have been no other way because of the time period in which certain decisions were made, and considering that a government, any government, is constituted from less than perfect humans.

However the corporate media might portray Obama politically, he is at his core a Socialist and a Globalist. He has as his following a disproportionate number of young followers imbued with a youthful dose of zestful Utopianism. Today, those youth who support the Left have been educated with a very skewed one sided view of the United States - described by their educators much in the same way that Iran describes the U.S. - as an evil empire.

Youth, having little worldly experience, defines the world in terms of its own hopes and aspirations; and questions the imperfection of the real world in terms of a Utopian solution; with a great deal of propagandizing, they have been led to the supposed Utopian producing philosophy of Socialism. Many adults, who in their youth accepted Socialism as the means to a Utopian world, still hold onto those beliefs.

These adults see all socio/political inequalities as being solved by Socialism; on a communal rather than on an individual basis. While Utopian aspirations might come to fruition in a society based on the individual, such a society would demand that individuals, at a minimum their leaders, develop something akin to the Greek 'arete' – excellence. The more highly disseminated this *arete* is in society, the more perfect that society would be.

This was the hope of the Founders during the Age of Enlightenment, when power was stripped from the Monarch and placed in the hands of the individual yeoman. A society wherein power would emanate up from the individual to the government.

Contemporary American educators have proselytized Socialist Utopianism as being the method to universal equality. Of course, the equality of prosperity to which most believers in Socialism point has never been achieved in anything larger than a communal farm. As George Orwell said in *Animal Farm* "All animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others." Both Soviet Kolkahvs and Israeli Kibbutzim communal systems were based on avowed Socialist principles, but accounted for a minority share of their respective populations and were limited in size.

It is the confluence of long held beliefs and philosophies and political affiliations that have created an emotionally irrational belief that "Orange man bad," as an element of Trump Derangement Syndrome. Telling a Jewish Socialist that the Democrats are crooked and their policies are antithetical to Jews is akin to telling them their mothers are whores.

Again, one must understand that it is not Trump in particular that is the target of the very wealthy and influential power broker Globalists. Trump just happens to be the unexpected head of the most influential nation in the world. But, he is not alone. Viktor Unoskovich was targeted and forced out of office. Boris Johnson had a tough road before he was able to lead a successful revolt against the Globalist backed EU. The purely economic / political motives to get rid of Trump differ from TDS. But those with TDS are being used by the Globalists to do whatever damage to Trump's agenda they can.

What makes all of the lies possible is that while Trump is not a divider in the sense that the Democrats say he is, he has divided the country. He has drawn a line in the sand. And, unlike the typical politicians that preceded him, he means what he says and follows through on his promises. To him his words have very real meanings. They are not just political rhetoric.

While there are many political moderates in the country, Trump has looked at the political landscape and saw that there are people and groups in this country who do not want America to survive. In this sense, his words of support for what has made America such a great nation has divided the country between those who love this country and are enjoying the fruits of their labor while actively participating in its ongoing improvement and alienated those who hate and despise this country and are actively working to turn it into another Socialist hell.

Donald Trump has divided the country between those who are legal immigrants and have come here legally by following immigration laws and those who have jumped the border and remain here illegally. Donald Trump has divided this country from Mexico with a firm ed up border. Donald Trump has divided Americans between those who hate Israel and wish to see it as a land devoid of Jews and Christians and those who accept Israel and want the USA to work with those Arabs who want a better future for their children and are willing to accept Israel as their partner in working towards that goal. Donald Trump has divided the country's policies between those policies that have brought greater employment and prosperity for all Americans from those policies that shackled the economy and stifled growth and negatively impacted the future of all Americans. Donald Trump has divided the country between those who believe Black Lives Matter in Chicago where thousands of Blacks die violently ever year from those who only support Black Lives Matter as a means to denigrate American institutions and create an ongoing political narrative of hatred between Blacks and Whites. Donald Trump has divided the country between those who want a civil society from those whose only means for change is coercion, threats and violence. Donald Trump has divided the world into world leaders who want a better more prosperous life for their citizens from those who only see power and personal wealth for themselves.

America has, for the first time in many years, a President who has taken a stand on what it is for which America stands and of what America is capable. But, for those who have invested their entire being in a Utopian Socialist world, and believe that much in America is beyond redemption, or just that all the world's ill would be cured if there were less individualism and more government programs, it is emotional anathema. There are those whose view of America is blocked by their images of those Socialist solutions in which they have believed for the entirety of their lives.

Trump's unapologetic approach to Americanism does not comport with those who want America to be more like Globalist controlled nations. They equate Donald Trump's pragmatic seemingly overly-simple business-like solutions to something they would get from a snake oil salesman. After all, Trump is no Thomas Jefferson. He is no Karl Marx. He speaks in straight forward words and asks you to believe in magic – the magic of the American idea. For those whose souls have been embedded with an intellectual approach to government, Trump is thought of as an ignoramus. To accept Trump as actually knowing what he is doing, and doing what he's doing for the benefit of America and not just for himself, means that Trump haters would have to reevaluate most of what they have believed for most of their lives.

-30-