

Rush Was So Wrong It Nauseated Me

© 2019 Joel Goodman



© 1971 written and illustrated by Walt Kelly

For a few minutes Rush Limbaugh had me. I listened with bated breath as he monologized about taking the Left seriously; that while what they are saying may be idiotic, enough people, however uninformed of the facts, are listening and agreeing.

I sat back and turned up the volume on the truck radio in my F-150 I've had for 30 years.

I was on the way back from the rock quarry with 4 tons of fill that I'm using for the garage floor addition to my modest house in Tennessee. I long ago left the life I knew in New York, and when I see the urban political loonies that are being promoted in the media, I look at them as puss oozing from a contagious wound that demands cleansing.

I understand the cities. I understand the Left. Conservatives don't. Well, at least today's Conservatives that have usurped the moniker "Conservative" don't understand the Left. I attended CCNY, the "Little Red School House."

I object to some of today's Republicans calling themselves "Conservatives," if only because I supported Barry Goldwater in 64'.

"In the past couple years, I have seen many news items that referred to the Moral Majority, pro - life and other religious groups as "the new right," and the "new conservatism." Well, I have spent quite a number of years carrying the flag of the

"old conservatism." And I can say with conviction that the religious issues of these groups have little or nothing to do with conservative or liberal politics."

Barry Goldwater - 1981

Let me back up. I have just begun making notes on an article about how the Revolution is playing out and how America is losing. I believe this in spite of the truly wonderful 'speech' President Trump gave as his State of the Union Address, instead of an 'address'. But at least he got a few Liberals to hear him, even though they didn't really listen to a word he said. If you listen to the much publicized Borinqueña, Economics Major, Rep. Cortez, Dem NY, rant on about ICE on the Rachel Maddow Show, you will see that they are much too busy breathlessly diatribing at full volume to be able to listen to anything but their own voice.

Anyway, I thought Rush was on a tear. He knew that in spite of the idiotic irrationality of the Left, they needed to be taken seriously.

My mind quickly raced to self conjured images of Germans in 1932, being incapable of imagining that they would ever be sending their Jewish neighbors to be hanged, gassed, shot or starved to death and then buried in mass graves or burned in ovens.

Of that minority of Germans that voted in sufficient numbers to bring Hitler into the Government, some may have disliked, even despised Jews, but few would have truly considered that they would be condemning six million Jews to their deaths. Certainly the majority who didn't vote for Hitler didn't conceive of that happening. But, it did. And, I'm sure there were many cabaret skits about Chancellor Shicklgruber – just like Chaplin's *The Great Dictator* and his bouncing ball ballet. Charming – but something I never found amusing. No less amusing to me than satirical rants about the stupidity of the Democratic Left.

Anyway – Rush segued into how he had been besieged over the years by females who constantly told him that the Republicans needed to get off the abortion bandwagon, and disengage from social issues. They continually harassed him about their being fiscal Conservatives and not religious Conservatives.

I understood. I agreed. After all I penned an [article](#) a few years ago entitled, *The Religious Right is Hurting The Republic*©. It was intended for The Federalist. In fact they went through three drafts and re-titled it, before suddenly saying they weren't interested. Obviously, someone nixed it. It conflicted with their political posture. In fact I received a note to that effect after another article I submitted. As long as I criticized the Left and Obama, I was okay. But, criticizing the beliefs of the New Conservatism wasn't acceptable. No one was interested in it. I even tried selling it to journals like the Atlantic and Politico, figuring they might be interested in some criticism of the Right – they weren't. They were, and still are, too busy promoting their own agenda rather than caring about how the Republicans will eventually destroy themselves.

So, I thought that Rush was about to do a *mea culpa* and admit that he was wrong about being so adamant about equating abortion at any point after conception with the taking of a human life.

In reference to the newly passed “abortion” after birth legislation in New York and Virginia, he talked about the fact that now Liberals have legalized infanticide and have shown their insensitivity to human life, and their having no compunction about killing anything. About how dangerous they are.

But, instead of understanding that it was the single-minded zealotry of the Right to involve themselves in social issues, especially on the Federal level, that allowed the pro-abortion movement to morph into a movement completely devoid of morality that supports a form of infanticide.

Instead of an understanding of what the New Right had caused to happen, to my shock, as I was attentive to his every word now, he blamed those women on the Right who had criticized him, of not respecting the “Sanctity of Human Life” at every stage of development; that they should have stopped their complaining and followed the Party line.

My stomach turned on his usage of the phrase “The sanctity of human Life.” He sounded like the Pope, like the parish priest, like hyperbolic Mark Levin and comical Chris Plante, and every other proselytizing Right Wing Neo Con Artist “Conservative” anti-abortion talk show host on the airwaves.

I turned him off. I couldn't stomach his diatribe. It was as disingenuous and foulmouthed to my ears as words from Alexandria Cortez.

He didn't get it.

If the Religious Right had not prioritized abortion as a political issue, the Right would have been joined by millions of women, who are neither morally debased, nor morally insensitive – just being what people are, concerned for their own well being, and even that of the unborn, possibly not wanting to take to full term a life that they would have to leave for adoption, or that they truly can't afford, or can't give what it needs to thrive in a competitive and difficult world.

But, no! The Religious right and their mouthpieces on the airwaves had to denounce a woman's choice, and label women, in effect, as immoral.

The Religious Right demanded a complete ban on abortion at every stage of conception – a bridge too far for many millions of women, and men. These alienated women and men either just didn't support the Republicans or actively joined pro-choice groups.

The stringent anti-abortion stance of the Religious Right is responsible for today's drastic libertine abortion legislation being passed as quickly as illegal's are crossing our borders.

Because the Right is correctly seen as insensitive on the abortion issue, they have lost the support of millions who would have given us the majority to keep this Republic together, who would have given us the majority to protect our borders, and do the manifold number of things for which government is truly responsible.

In Germany in 1933, enough people were persuaded that an extreme change was needed to save the country, and in confusion and distress they turned to a monster. Like it or not, there are a sufficient number of people in this country today who are turning to a monstrous political movement because they have been eschewed by the Republican Party that should be representing the American value of free choice.

On one point Mr. Limbaugh is correct. We need to take the Radical Left seriously. But had the Religious Right not been so arrogant, the radical Left would not have the support it has; even

discounting the fact that it is the media that is promoting them. Still, no one should be so naive as to think that the stringent anti-abortion position of the Religious Right has not aided the rise of the Radical Left.

Had the pro-life movement limited its political intervention to late term abortion we wouldn't be about to lose it – and we may just be at that point – losing it.

No, Mr. Limbaugh. Your analysis is ass backwards. As was said some years ago, "We have met the enemy and he is us."